



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

CB

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/040,646	01/07/2002	Hirohiko Nishiki	SLA 0452	2652
7590	01/02/2004		EXAMINER	
David C. Ripma			ALANKO, ANITA KAREN	
Patent Counsel				
Sharp Laboratories of America, Inc.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
5750 NW Pacific Rim Boulevard			1765	
Camas, WA 98607				

DATE MAILED: 01/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/040,646	NISHIKI ET AL.
	Examiner Anita K Alanko	Art Unit 1765

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

THE REPLY FILED 08 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
 - (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
 - (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
 - (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
 - (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) ____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 1-8 and 20-23.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 9-19.

8. The drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: _____.

Anita K. Alanko
Anita K Alanko
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 1765

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The 112 rejection is overcome if the amendment is entered.

Applicant argues on page 10, third paragraph:

"Bergman states that 'the injection of ozone continues after the spray has shut off (col.9, ln. 49-50).' That is, the nozzles may be used to generally introduce ozone into to chamber if there is no water flow."

Examiner interprets this passage as encompassing the claim language, that is, water is introduced to the substrate surface, the water spray is shut off and then ozone is introduced into the sheet of water.

Applicant then continues by arguing that Bergman does not anticipate the claim because

"the invention of claim 1 blows ozone after a water sheet is formed on the substrate to be cleaned"

Examiner notes that the claim has open "comprising" language, and is not limited to the blowing step being solely after the forming a sheet of water step. Examiner interprets Bergman as in part doing these two steps simultaneously, and sequentially as described in column 9, lines 49-50 when ozone injection continues after the spray has been shut off. The arguments are thus considered to not be commensurate in scope with the claim language.